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The abstract should not include references. Use the headings relevant to the type of abstract you are submitting (see Content of Abstract below). To facilitate the blind review process, individuals and institutions should not be identified in the body of the abstract; e.g. use ‘author’s institution’ instead of using the name of the company, university or hospital.

Content of Abstract
The abstracts for this conference are peer reviewed and available online post-conference as submitted (Book of Abstracts). By submitting to this conference the author/s hereby affirm/s that the work submitted is theirs, original and recent. Your abstract must clearly state that ethical approval was obtained or justification as to why it was not necessary.

Research study
Title and background
Aim and objectives of the study
Method (must include: research design, sample, analysis and ethical approval)¹
Findings²
Conclusions and implications

Systematic review: meta-analysis/meta-synthesis
Title
Aim of review
Search and review methodology
Findings²
Conclusions and implications

Innovations in research methodology, education or clinical practice
Title and background
Aim and objectives
Description of innovation
Impact of innovation³
Conclusions and implications

Discussion paper
Title and background
Aim and objectives
Theoretical perspective / methodology
Topic
Conclusions and implications

¹Ethical approval must be referred to in every abstract.
²Findings must be included and be relevant to the aims and/or objectives.
³Please ensure that this is addressed in the abstract